

Constructions and language processing: Performance-driven constraints on perception and production

Workshop at BICLCE2017 (7th Biennial International Conference on the Linguistics of Contemporary English, <https://biclce2017.wordpress.com/>) in Vigo, 28-30 September 2017

Convenors

David Tizón-Couto (University of Vigo), David Lorenz (Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg), Jong-Bok Kim (Kyung Hee University, Seoul), Yolanda Fernández-Pena (University of Vigo), Javier Pérez-Guerra (University of Vigo).

There is a growing body of research that addresses language processing from a constructional point of view. For instance, argument structure constructions have been shown to aid the comprehension of denominal verbs (Kaschak and Glenberg 2000) or of general sentence meanings (Bencini and Goldberg 2000), and a range of experimental studies have highlighted the importance of pre-emptive contexts in the perception of a particular construction as ungrammatical (e.g. the attributive adjective construction, cf. Boyd and Goldberg 2011). Constructions have also been shown to affect speech production in several ways. Thus, frequency-driven phonetic reduction is well documented (Jurafsky et al. 2001, Gahl and Garnsey 2004). Furthermore, syntactic priming (Gries 2005), lexical boost (Pickering and Ferreira 2008) and simple relative frequencies (Gries et al. 2005) have an impact on the online performance of ensuing speech or on sentence completion.

Processing has also been dealt with from the perspective of efficiency, i.e. in terms of the linguistic variants that might arise under the pressure of increased processing constraints. Most of these approaches assume that simplicity in one part of the grammar often results in complexity in another (e.g. sentence end weight acting as a trade-off for a long subject constituent). In this vein, Rohdenburg (1996), Hawkins (2004) or Mondorf (2009), among others, account for language variation by posing processing-based psycholinguistic generalizations such as: 'Complexity Principle', 'Minimize Domains', 'Maximize Online Processing', 'Minimize Forms', 'Support Strategies', etc.

Designed to encompass both the constructional and the efficiency-based approaches to processing, the workshop invites synchronic and diachronic corpus-based or experimental research on the impact of language processing on spoken language and communication (at any level of linguistic analysis).

Call for papers

The workshop will consist of full papers and work-in progress reports, which will be allotted 20 minutes for presentation (plus 10 minutes for discussion). The deadline for submissions of abstracts (ca. 500 words, excluding title, references and keywords) has been **extended until 19 February 2017**. Notification of acceptance will be sent out by 15 March 2017. Abstracts should be sent to biclce.processing.workshop@gmail.com.

We are planning to publish the papers after peer-review process in a special issue of a reputable journal.

References

- Bencini, Giulia M.L. and Adele E. Goldberg. 2000. The contribution of argument structure constructions to sentence meaning. *Journal of Memory and Language* 43.4:640-51.
- Boyd, Jeremy K. and Adele E. Goldberg. 2011. Learning what not to say: The role of statistical preemption and categorization in “a”-adjective production. *Language* 81.1:1-29.
- Gahl, Susanne and Susan M. Garnsey. 2004. Knowledge of grammar, knowledge of usage: Syntactic probabilities affect pronunciation variation. *Language* 80.4: 748-75.
- Gries, Stefan T. 2005. Syntactic priming: A corpus-based approach. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 34.4: 365-99.
- Gries, Stefan T., Beate Hampe, and Doris Schönefeld. 2005. Converging evidence: Bringing together experimental and corpus data on the association of verbs and constructions. *Cognitive Linguistics* 16.4: 635-76.
- Hawkins, John A. 2004. *Efficiency and complexity in grammars*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jurafsky, Daniel, Alan Bell Michelle Gregory, and William D. Raymond. 2001. Probabilistic relations between words: Evidence from reduction in lexical production. In Joan Bybee and Paul J. Hopper (eds.) *Frequency and the emergence of Linguistic Structure*, Amsterdam: John Benjamins, pp. 229-54.
- Kaschack, Michael and Arthur Glenberg. 2000. The role of affordances and grammatical constructions in language comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language* 43: 508-29.
- Mondorf, Britta. 2009. *More support for more-support*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Rohdenburg, Günter. 1996. Cognitive complexity and increased grammatical explicitness in English. *Cognitive Linguistics* 7(2), 149–182.